

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/042,846	<b>Applicant(s)</b> HAIMOVSKY ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Tse Chen	<b>Art Unit</b> 2116	

**All Participants:**

- (1) Tse Chen.  
(2) Kirk D. Williams.

**Status of Application:** Allow

- (3) \_\_\_\_\_  
(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 14 January 2008
**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No  
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:  
 N/A

Claims discussed:  
 8, 13, 40, 45, 52, 58

Prior art documents discussed:  
 Cited references.

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Allowable subject matter was presented [see examiner's statement of reasons for allowance dated January 14, 2008] and minor informalities were corrected, resulting in the agreed examiner's amendment dated January 14, 2008.*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

1/14/08

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)